

7312

RECEIVED
LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
2007 JUN 25 AM 10:30

**LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY
COMMISSION**

**FINANCIAL REPORT AND
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date 7/4/07

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditors' Report.....	2
Management's Discussion and Analysis.....	4
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet.....	8
Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance.....	9
Notes to Financial Statements.....	10

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - General Fund	14
--	----

INTERNAL COMPLIANCE, CONTROL, AND OTHER INFORMATION

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	15
--	----

OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Summary of Corrective Action Taken on Prior Year Findings	17
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	18

WRIGHT, MOORE, DEHART, DUPUIS & HUTCHINSON, L.L.C.

Certified Public Accountants
100 Petroleum Drive, 70508
P.O. Box 80569 • Lafayette, Louisiana 70598-0569
(337) 232-3637 • FAX (337) 235-8557
www.wmddh.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

JOHN W. WRIGHT, CPA *

JAMES H. DUPUIS, CPA, CFP *

JAN H. COWEN, CPA *

LANCE E. CRAPPELL, CPA *

PAT BAHAM DOUGHT, CPA *

MICAH R. VIDRINE, CPA *

TRAVIS M. BRINSKO, CPA *

RICK L. STUTES CPA, CVA / ABV, APA *

* A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

JOE D. HUTCHINSON, CPA *

M. TROY MOORE, CPA * +

MICHAEL G. DEHART, CPA, CVA, MBA * +

+ RETIRED



KRISTIE C. BOUDREAUX, CPA

SHEP E. COMEAUX, CPA, MBA

ROBERT T. DUCHARME, II, CPA

CHRISTINE R. DUNN, CPA

DANE P. FALGOUT, CPA

MARY PATRICIA KEELEY, CPA

KYLE L. ROBICHEAUX, CPA

DAMIAN H. SPIESS, CPA, CFP

ROBIN G. STOCKTON, CPA

BRIDGET B. TILLEY, CPA, MT

PATRICK E. WAGUESPACK, CPA

To the Board of Commissions
Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission
Lafayette, Louisiana

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund, of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, which collectively comprise the Commission's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards of the United States of America, *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the provisions of Louisiana Revised Statutes 24:514 and the Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, and the major fund information of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission, as of December 31, 2006, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles of the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 15, 2007, on our consideration of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The other supplementary information listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 4 through 7 and the budgetary comparison are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

*Wright, Moore, DeHart,
Dupuis & Hutchinson, LLC*
WRIGHT, MOORE, DEHART,
DUPUIS & HUTCHINSON, L.L.C.
Certified Public Accountants

May 15, 2007

Management's Discussion and Analysis

As management of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission, we offer readers of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Commission for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Financial Highlights

- The 2005-2006 General Appropriations Act contained a State General Fund appropriation in the amount of \$250,000 for the LMEC, however, the funds were not received due to an Executive Order by the Governor freezing state expenditures due to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. The 2006-2007 General Appropriation Act also contained a State General Fund appropriation in the amount of \$250,000 for the LMEC of which \$50,000 was received on December 8, 2006 and \$200,000 was accrued as of 12/31/06. The main goal of the Commission for the use of the funds is to improve the Lafayette area's transportation system; and, to pursue alternative and innovative funding sources, including but not limited to tolls, to supplement public revenue services.
- The Commission entered into a contract for \$250,000 with HNTB Corporation on September 7, 2004 to conduct a toll-road economic feasibility study to substantiate project need and feasibility. During 2005, the Commission entered into two supplemental agreements with HNTB for an additional \$417,490 contingent upon funding from the state. These supplemental agreements expanded the scope of services to include preparing an Environmental Impact Statement and Records of Decision. Of the \$417,490 in supplemental work to be performed, HNTB was only given the notice to proceed on \$267,490 during 2005 due to the LMEC not receiving the 2005-06 appropriation. During 2006, the Commission entered into a third supplemental agreement expanding the scope to continue the Environmental Impact Statement. As of the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, \$507,490 was paid on the original and supplemental agreements to HNTB and \$38,500.00 was accrued as a current liability (*accounts payable*).
- The assets of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission exceeded its liabilities at the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 by \$251,881 (*total net assets*). This amount, \$251,881 (*unrestricted net assets*), may be used to meet the Authority's ongoing obligations to creditors.
- As of the close of the current fiscal year, the Commission's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$251,881. This amount is available for spending at the government's discretion (*unreserved fund balance*).

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's basic financial statements. The Commission's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) *Government-wide Financial Statements*, 2) *Fund Financial Statements*, and 3) *Notes to the Financial Statements*. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide Financial Statements. *The Government-wide Financial Statements* are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the Commission's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The *Statement of Net Assets* presents information on all of the Commission's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as *net assets*. Over time, increases or decreases in *net assets* may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Commission is improving or deteriorating.

The *Statement of Activities* presents information showing how the government's *net assets* changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in *net assets* are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., accrued expenditures).

Both of the *Government-wide Financial Statements* distinguish functions of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission that are principally supported by intergovernmental revenues (*governmental activities*) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through charges (*business-type activities*). The *governmental activities* of the Commission include general governmental expenditures incurred in the Commission's mission of hiring a qualified engineering firm that has experience in conducting toll-road financial feasibility studies that are acceptable to the investment banking community and bond rating organizations and preparing an Environmental Impact Statement. The Commission had no *business-type activities* to report.

Both the *Government-wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements* can be found on pages 8 and 9 of this report.

Fund Financial Statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental funds. *Governmental funds* are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as *governmental activities* in the *Government-wide Financial Statements*. However, unlike the *Government-wide Financial Statements*, *Governmental Fund Financial Statements* focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of *governmental funds* is narrower than that of the *Government-wide Financial Statements*, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the *Government-wide Financial Statements*. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the *Governmental Funds Balance Sheet* and the *Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances* provided a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

The Commission maintains one individual *governmental fund*. The general fund is considered by the Commission to be its major fund.

The Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission adopts an annual budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparative statement has been provided on page 14 for the general fund to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

Notes to the Financial Statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the *Government-wide* and *Fund Financial Statements*. The notes to the financial statements can be found on pages 10 through 13 of this report.

Government-wide Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission, assets exceeded liabilities by \$251,881 at the close of the most recent fiscal year. The entire amount of net assets is comprised of cash deposited with the fiscal agent of the Commission and contracts receivable.

Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission Net Assets

	Government Activities	
	2006	2005
Current and other assets	\$290,381	\$228,789
Total assets	290,381	228,789
Accounts Payable	38,500	95,000
Total liabilities	38,500	95,000
Net assets:		
Unrestricted	251,881	133,789
Total net assets	\$251,881	\$133,789

At the end of the current fiscal year, the Commission is able to report a positive balance in net assets.

Governmental Activities. Governmental activities increased the Authority's net assets by \$251,881. Key elements of this increase are as follows:

Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission Changes in Net Assets

	Government Activities	
	2006	2005
Revenues:		
General Revenues:		
Intergovernmental	\$250,000	\$250,000
Unrestricted investment earnings	4,553	10,441
Total revenues	254,553	260,441
Expenses:		
General government	136,461	296,430
Total expenses	136,461	296,430
Increase (Decrease) in net assets	118,092	(35,989)
Net assets -- beginning	133,789	169,778
Net assets - ending	\$251,881	\$133,789

- The Commission received a State General Fund Appropriation in the amount of \$250,000.
- The Commission entered into a third supplemental agreement for \$250,000 with HNTB to continue the work on the Environmental Impact Study. \$545,990 of the original contract amount and supplements have been paid or accrued as of December 31, 2006.

Financial Analysis of Government's Funds

As noted earlier, the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental Funds. The focus of the Commission's *governmental funds* is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the Commission's financing requirements. In particular, *unreserved fund balance* may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's *Governmental Funds* reported ending fund balances of \$251,881. This amount constitutes unreserved fund balance, which is available for spending at the government's discretion.

The general fund is the only operating fund of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission. As a measure of the general funds liquidity, it may be useful to compare unreserved fund balance to total fund expenditures. Both unreserved fund balance and total fund balance represent approximately 184 percent of total general fund expenditures.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

\$50,000 of the State Fund appropriation was budgeted to be spent in 2006 with the remaining \$200,000 to be spent in 2007. A third supplemental agreement with an engineering firm totaling \$250,000 was executed in 2006. Of this amount, the Commission gave notice to proceed on the \$250,000.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Capital Assets. The Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission does not have any capital assets as of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Long-Term Debt. The Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission does not have any long-term debt as of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget

- The unemployment rate for the Lafayette Parish in October 2005 was 7.7 percent, which is an increase from a rate of 4.3 percent a year ago. This compares favorably to the state's average unemployment rate for the month of October 2005 of 11.3 percent and the national average rate for October 2005 of 4.6 percent.
- Inflationary trends in the region compare favorably to national indices.

These factors were considered in preparing the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's budget for the 2006 fiscal year.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to Michael Mangham, Chairman of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission, P. O. Box 60485 Lafayette, Louisiana 70596-0485.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

**STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL
FUND BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 2006**

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Statement of Net Assets</u>
ASSETS			
Current Assets:			
Cash	\$ 90,381	\$ -	\$ 90,381
Contracts Receivable	<u>200,000</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>200,000</u>
Total Current Assets	<u>290,381</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>290,381</u>
 Total Assets	 <u>\$ 290,381</u>	 <u>\$ -</u>	 <u>\$ 290,381</u>
LIABILITIES			
Accounts Payable	<u>38,500</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>38,500</u>
 Total Liabilities	 <u>38,500</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>\$ 38,500</u>
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS			
Fund Balance:			
Unreserved	<u>251,881</u>	<u>(251,881)</u>	<u>-</u>
 Total Fund Balance	 <u>251,881</u>	 <u>(251,881)</u>	 <u>-</u>
 Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	 <u>\$ 290,381</u>		
Net Assets:			
Unrestricted		<u>251,881</u>	<u>251,881</u>
 Total Net Assets		 <u>\$ 251,881</u>	 <u>\$ 251,881</u>

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of This Statement.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

**STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND
GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Statement of Activities</u>
Expenditures/Expenses:			
General Government	\$ 136,461	\$ -	\$ 136,461
Total Expenditures/Expenses	<u>136,461</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>136,461</u>
General Revenues:			
Intergovernmental	250,000	-	250,000
Unrestricted Investment Earnings	<u>4,553</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4,553</u>
Total General Revenues	<u>254,553</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>254,553</u>
Excess of Revenues over Expenditures	118,092	(118,092)	-
Change in Net Assets	-	118,092	118,092
Fund Balance/Net Assets:			
Beginning of the Year	<u>133,789</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>133,789</u>
End of the Year	<u>\$ 251,881</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 251,881</u>

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of This Statement.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006

(A) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Reporting Entity - The Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission (the Commission) was created by Act 893 of the 2003 Regular Session of the Louisiana Legislature to pursue alternative and innovative funding sources, included but not limited to tolls, to supplement public revenue sources for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a safe and efficient limited access highway system exclusively within Lafayette Parish.

The Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission prepares its financial statements in accordance with the standards established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

The Commission is defined as a special purpose government engaged in a single governmental program, as a single-program special purpose government, the Commission has elected to combine the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Basic Financial Statements-Government-Wide Statements - The Commission's basic financial statements include both government-wide (reporting the Commission as a whole) and fund financial statements (reporting the Commission's major fund). Both the government-wide and fund financial statements categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type.

In the government-wide Statement of Net Assets, the governmental activities column (a) is presented on a consolidated basis, (b) and is reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The Commission's net assets are reported in three parts--invested in capital assets, net of related debt; restricted net assets; and unrestricted net assets. The Commission first utilizes restricted resources to finance qualifying activities.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. The Commission has no *program revenues*. Revenue not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the Commission as an entity and the change in the Commission's net assets resulting from the current year's activities.

Basic Financial Statements-Fund Financial Statements - The financial transactions of the Commission are reported in individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, reserves, fund equity, revenues and expenditures/expenses. The various funds are reported by generic classification within the financial statements.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006

(A) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - continued

The following fund type is the only fund used by the Commission:

Governmental Fund:

The focus of the governmental fund's measurement (in the fund statement) is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position (sources, uses, and balances of financial resources) rather than upon net income. The following is a description of the governmental fund of the Commission:

General Fund - This type of fund is the general operating fund of the Commission. The General Fund is financed through the General Appropriations Act contained in the Louisiana State General Fund. The general fund is considered the major fund of the Commission.

Basis of Accounting - Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures/expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made regardless of the measurement focus applied.

1. Accrual:

The governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

2. Modified Accrual:

The governmental funds financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting - The Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission is required to adopt an annual budget for the General Fund. The budget is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is consistent with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") of the United States of America.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2006

(A) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - continued

The following procedures are followed in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

- a. Each year, prior to January 1, the Commission prepares and adopts a budget for the succeeding fiscal year. The budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- b. The budget must be revised and approved by the Commission for any increase in budgetary expenditures.
- c. All budgetary appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles of the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(B) CASH AND INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS

Under state law, the Commission may deposit funds within a fiscal agent bank organized under the laws of the State of Louisiana, the laws of any other state in the Union, or the laws of the United States. The Commission may invest in certificates and time deposits of state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having principal offices in Louisiana. At December 31, 2006, the Commission had an interest-bearing deposit (book balance) totaling \$90,381 in a demand deposit account.

This deposit is stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, this deposit (or the resulting bank balance) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent bank. These securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties.

Custodial Credit Risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Commission's deposits may not be returned to them. The Commission's policy for custodial credit risk requires that all uninsured deposits must be secured with acceptable collateral as defined in LRS 38:1221 valued at market. At December 31, 2006, the Commission had deposits (collected bank balances) totaling \$90,559 which are secured by federal deposit insurance.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2006

(B) CASH AND INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS - continued

Even though the pledged securities are considered subject to custodial credit risk under the provisions of GASB Statement No. 40, *Deposits and Investment Risk Disclosures*, Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1229 imposes a statutory requirement on the custodial bank to advertise and sell pledged securities within ten days of being notified by the Commission that the fiscal agent has failed to pay deposited funds upon demand.

(C) LITIGATION

There was no litigation pending against the Commission as of December 31, 2006.

(D) COMMITMENTS

On September 7, 2004 the Commission entered into a contract for professional engineering services with HNTB Corporation in the amount of \$250,000, to provide Phase A of the project, which is to hire an engineering firm or firms that have experience in conducting toll-road financial feasibility studies that are acceptable to the investment banking community and bond rating organizations. In addition, Supplemental Agreements No. 1 and 2 were entered into during 2005, which increased the contract amount by \$417,490, contingent upon funding from the state, and expanded the scope of services to be provided to include a feasibility study and to begin Phase B, the NEPA process, which includes Environmental Impact Statements and Records of Decision. Of the \$417,490 of supplemental work to be performed, HNTB was only given the notice to proceed on \$267,490 due to LMEC not receiving the 2005-06 appropriation. Supplemental Agreement No. 3 was entered into during 2006 to continue the Environmental Impact statement, which increased the contract amount by \$250,000. As of December 31, 2006, \$545,990 has been paid or accrued under this contract, including supplements. The terms of the contract outline payment on a monthly basis with the amount directly proportional to the percentage of completed services as shown in the monthly progress schedule.

On October 2, 2006, the Commission also entered into a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development to assist with Phase B, the Environmental Impact Study and pursuit of obtaining permanent funding sources for the project.

(E) ECONOMIC DEPENDENCE

The Commission received all of its funding through annual appropriations of the legislature of the State of Louisiana. A change in this funding could significantly effect the operations of the Commission.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

**SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND
CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET TO ACTUAL
GENERAL FUND
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Amended Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)</u>
Revenues:				
Intergovernmental	\$ 250,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 200,000
Investment Earnings	<u>5,000</u>	<u>4,500</u>	<u>4,553</u>	<u>53</u>
Total Revenues	<u>255,000</u>	<u>54,500</u>	<u>254,553</u>	<u>200,053</u>
Expenditures:				
Current:				
General Government	<u>483,789</u>	<u>188,289</u>	<u>136,461</u>	<u>51,828</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>483,789</u>	<u>188,289</u>	<u>136,461</u>	<u>51,828</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	<u>\$ (228,789)</u>	<u>\$ (133,789)</u>	<u>\$ 118,092</u>	<u>\$ 251,881</u>

WRIGHT, MOORE, DEHART, DUPUIS & HUTCHINSON, L.L.C.

Certified Public Accountants
100 Petroleum Drive, 70508
P.O. Box 80569 • Lafayette, Louisiana 70598-0569
(337) 232-3637 • FAX (337) 235-8557
www.wmddh.com

JOHN W. WRIGHT, CPA *
JAMES H. DUPUIS, CPA, CFP *
JAN H. COWEN, CPA *
LANCE E. CRAPPELL, CPA *
PAT BAHAM DOUGHT, CPA *
MICAH R. VIDRINE, CPA *
TRAVIS M. BRINSKO, CPA *
RICK L. STUTES CPA, CVA / ABV, APA *

* A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

JOE D. HUTCHINSON, CPA *
M. TROY MOORE, CPA * +
MICHAEL G. DEHART, CPA, CVA, MBA * +
+RETIRED



KRISTIE C. BOUDREAUX, CPA
SHEP E. COMEAUX, CPA, MBA
ROBERT T. DUCHARME, II, CPA
CHRISTINE R. DUNN, CPA
DANE P. FALGOUT, CPA
MARY PATRICIA KEELEY, CPA
KYLE L. ROBICHEAUX, CPA
DAMIAN H. SPIESS, CPA, CFP
ROBIN G. STOCKTON, CPA
BRIDGET B. TILLEY, CPA, MT
PATRICK E. WAGUESPACK, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Commissioners
Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission
Lafayette, Louisiana

We have audited the financial statements of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission (the "Commission"), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 15, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the of the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the provisions of Louisiana Revised Statutes 24:514 and the Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Commission's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Commission's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Commission's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the Commission's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts.

However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended for the information of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission, and the Legislative Auditor of the State of Louisiana. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

*Wright, Moore, DeHart,
Dupuis & Hutchinson, LLC*
WRIGHT, MOORE, DEHART,
DUPUIS & HUTCHINSON, L.L.C.
Certified Public Accountants

May 15, 2007

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

We have audited the financial statements of the Lafayette Metropolitan Expressway Commission as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 15, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards of the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by Comptroller General of the United States. Our audit of the financial statements of December 31, 2006 resulted in an unqualified opinion.

Section I Summary of Auditor's Reports

a. Report on Internal Control and Compliance Material to the Financial Statements

Internal Control

Significant Deficiencies	<u> </u> Yes	<u> x </u> No
Reportable Conditions	<u> </u> Yes	<u> x </u> No

Compliance

Compliance Material to Financial Statements	<u> </u> Yes	<u> x </u> No
---	-----------------	-----------------

Section II Financial Statement Findings

No Current Year Findings.

Section III Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

This section is not applicable for the year ended December 31, 2006.

LAFAYETTE METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY COMMISSION
SUMMARY OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN ON PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

There were no prior year findings.